

# MIDWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE

425 VOLKER BOULEVARD, KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64110 - - AREA CODE 816 - LOGAN 1-0202

1 November 1965

Office of Grants and Research Contracts  
Code SC  
National Aeronautics and Space Administration  
Washington, D. C. 20546

Subject: Quarterly Status Report No. 6, Task Order NASr-63(07), NASA  
Hq. 80X0108(64), MRI Project 2760-P, "Nonlinear Dynamics  
of Thin Shell Structures," covering the period 15 July -  
14 October 1965.

Gentlemen:

Please accept this letter as a quarterly progress report for  
the subject contract.

Many important physical systems can be described by the dif-  
ferential equation which represents a damped mass-spring system with  
control proportional to  $By + Cy^3$  and driven by a force  $D \cos(\omega t + \varphi)$ .  
This equation is

$$y'' + Ay' + By + Cy^3 = D \cos(\omega t + \varphi),$$
$$A > 0, y(0) = \alpha_0, y'(0) = \beta, \quad (1)$$

which is the well-known Duffing equation.

In the usual situation,  $A$  and  $D$  are assumed small and a  
perturbation scheme is used to construct approximate solutions. In  
previous reports we developed an algorithm to construct rational ap-  
proximations to the solution of (1). The validity of these approxima-  
tions does not depend on the relative magnitudes of  $A$  and  $D$ .

In this quarterly report we investigate an example of type (1)  
for which the perturbation technique is impractical but for which our  
rational approximations are very effective. We also show how to extend  
the range of validity of our rational approximations by the method of  
analytical continuation.

FACILITY FORM 802

N 66-80642

(ACCESSION NUMBER)

(THRU)

(PAGES)

(CODE)

NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER

Consider the equation

$$y'' + 0.2y' + 5y + 10y^3 = \cos \omega t, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = 0. \quad (2)$$

To cast this equation in the required form, set

$$y = 1 - 7t^2v. \quad (3)$$

Then (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 7t^2v'' + (28t + 1.4t^2)v' + (14 + 2.8t + 245t^2)v \\ - 1470t^4v^2 + 3430t^6v^3 - 15 + \cos \omega t = 0, \\ v(0) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In (4), we replaced  $\cos \omega t$  by a polynomial approximation which is accurate to five decimals for  $0 \leq \omega t \leq 1$ . Using our technique to obtain rational approximations to the solution of the resulting equation, we constructed rational approximations  $v_n$  to  $v$  and  $y_n$  to  $y$  where

$$y_n = 1 - 7t^2v_n. \quad (5)$$

It is clear that the range of validity of our approximations is limited to the range of validity of the approximation to  $\cos \omega t$ .

In Tables I, II and III, the fourth and sixth order approximations to  $y$  for  $\omega = 0, 1$  and  $2$  are listed. Also given are values determined by stepwise numerical integration which we call true. As is evident, the rational approximations are quite accurate. Since the accuracy of our approximation for  $\cos \omega t$  is less than five

Office of Grants and Research Contracts  
Code SC

- 3 -

1 November 1965

decimals when  $\omega t > 1$  and  $\omega = 2$ , we employed the analytic continuation technique for  $\omega = 2$  to compute the approximate solutions for  $\omega t > 0.8$ . Thus the approximate solution was computed for  $0 \leq t \leq 0.4$ , and then the transformation  $t = \tau + 0.4$  was utilized to convert (4) into a new initial value problem. Then the approximate solutions were evaluated for  $\tau = 0(0.04)0.6$ , i.e.,  $t = 0.4(0.04)1.0$ .

In the final report we shall codify the results of the present period of investigation and include in it a FORTRAN program which computed these rational approximations to the solution of the generalized second order Riccati equation.

Very truly yours,

MIDWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE

  
Y. L. Luke

Senior Advisor for Mathematics

Approved:



Sheldon L. Levy, Director  
Mathematics and Physics Division

(25 copies of report submitted)

TABLE I

$$\omega = 0$$

<u>t</u>	<u>y(t) (true)</u>	<u>y<sub>4</sub>(t)</u>	<u>y<sub>6</sub>(t)</u>
0.00	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
0.04	0.98888	0.98888	0.98888
0.08	0.95625	0.95625	0.95625
0.12	0.90399	0.90399	0.90399
0.16	0.83481	0.83481	0.83481
0.20	0.75186	0.75185	0.75186
0.24	0.65838	0.65837	0.65838
0.28	0.55742	0.55739	0.55742
0.32	0.45162	0.45153	0.45162
0.36	0.34315	0.34290	0.34315
0.40	0.23373	0.23310	0.23373
0.44	0.12469	0.12329	0.12469
0.48	0.01708	0.01419	0.01708
0.52	-0.08822	-0.09375	-0.08821
0.56	-0.19037	-0.20036	-0.19033
0.60	-0.28848	-0.30558	-0.28837
0.64	-0.38151	-0.40951	-0.38127
0.68	-0.46826	-0.51230	-0.46774
0.72	-0.54734	-0.61415	-0.54628
0.76	-0.61722	-0.71527	-0.61516
0.80	-0.67629	-0.81588	-0.65482
0.84	-0.72298	-0.91619	-0.71633
0.88	-0.75596	-1.01640	-0.74481
0.92	-0.77421	-1.11668	-0.75632
0.96	-0.77720	-1.21720	-0.77346
1.00	-0.76495	-1.31808	-0.75084

TABLE II

$$\omega = 1$$

<u>t</u>	<u>y(t) (true)</u>	<u>y<sub>4</sub>(t)</u>	<u>y<sub>6</sub>(t)</u>
0.00	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
0.04	0.98888	0.98888	0.98888
0.08	0.95625	0.95625	0.95625
0.12	0.90398	0.90398	0.90398
0.16	0.83478	0.83478	0.83478
0.20	0.75179	0.75179	0.75179
0.24	0.65825	0.65824	0.65825
0.28	0.55718	0.55715	0.55718
0.32	0.45121	0.45113	0.45121
0.36	0.34251	0.34226	0.34251
0.40	0.23276	0.23215	0.23276
0.44	0.12328	0.12191	0.12328
0.48	0.01509	0.01225	0.01509
0.52	-0.09095	-0.09640	-0.09094
0.56	-0.19402	-0.20388	-0.19398
0.60	-0.29324	-0.31019	-0.29315
0.64	-0.38760	-0.41543	-0.38738
0.68	-0.47589	-0.51979	-0.47542
0.72	-0.55672	-0.62349	-0.55576
0.76	-0.62851	-0.72678	-0.62664
0.80	-0.68961	-0.82991	-0.68616
0.84	-0.73840	-0.93313	-0.73234
0.88	-0.77347	-1.03665	-0.76330
0.92	-0.79375	-1.14069	-0.77741
0.96	-0.79865	-1.24550	-0.77346
1.00	-0.78817	-1.35113	-0.75082

TABLE III

$$\omega = 2$$

<u>t</u>	<u>y(t) (true)</u>	<u>y<sub>4</sub>(t)</u>	<u>y<sub>6</sub>(t)</u>
0.00	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
0.04	0.98888	0.98888	0.98888
0.08	0.95624	0.95624	0.95624
0.12	0.90396	0.90396	0.90396
0.16	0.83470	0.83470	0.83470
0.20	0.75160	0.75160	0.75160
0.24	0.65786	0.65786	0.65786
0.28	0.55647	0.55645	0.55647
0.32	0.45002	0.44996	0.45002
0.36	0.34063	0.34043	0.34063
0.40*	0.22992	0.22941	0.22992
0.44	0.11916	0.11916	0.11916
0.48	0.00931	0.00931	0.00931
0.52	-0.09882	-0.09882	-0.09882
0.56	-0.20448	-0.20448	-0.20448
0.60	-0.30682	-0.30682	-0.30682
0.64	-0.40484	-0.40486	-0.40484
0.68	-0.49732	-0.49740	-0.49732
0.72	-0.58279	-0.58304	-0.58279
0.76	-0.65955	-0.66024	-0.65955
0.80	-0.72579	-0.72745	-0.72579
0.84	-0.77969	-0.78327	-0.77969
0.88	-0.81959	-0.82666	-0.81959
0.92	-0.84421	-0.85710	-0.84423
0.96	-0.85280	-0.87472	-0.85286
1.00	-0.84524	-0.88031	-0.84540

---

\*Point at which analytic continuation begins.